Designing a Tesla Magnifier



The idea is to start from this primary coil: L₁: 859.1nH (calculated, 50mm x 3 mm copper strip) This is the top load:: Toroid with: D: 600mm (measured) d: 170mm (measured) With these dimensions: C₃ = 26.33 pF (not 40.38 pF) And this is the third coil: 389mH (measured Meterman 37XR) Diameter: 200mm Length: 860mm Medhurst self-capacitance: 13.89 pF Toroid 100mm above top turn. Probable primary capacitor: C₁: 15.51μ F (measured) Initial voltage in C₁: 1000 V Maximum primary current: 4500 A

First, some verifications: C_1 and L_1 resonate at 43.6 kHz Since L_3 and the topload were used in a Tesla coil, L_3 and C_3 shall also resonate at 43.6 kHz.

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}}$$
$$C = \frac{1}{(2\pi f)^2 L} = 34.25 \text{pF}$$

Consistent with the calculations above.

The system has 8 parameters to determine: L₁, C₁, L₂, C₂, L₃, C₃, k₁₂, and w, from the equations:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1) \ w^2 L_1 C_1 = (2m^2 k^2 + (m^2 l^2)(l^2 k^2))/(2k^2 l^2 m^2) \\ 2) \ w^2 L_2 C_2 = l^2/(k^2 m^2) \\ 3) \ w^2 L_3 C_3 = 1/l^2 \\ 4) \ L_2/L_3 = ((l^2 - m^2)(k^2 l^2))/(2^* k^2 m^2) \\ 5) \ k_{12}{}^2 = ((k^2 l^2)(l^2 - m^2))/(k^2 (l^2 + m^2) l^2 (l^2 - m^2)) \\ \end{array}$

The ratio k:1:m determines the mode of operation. With 8 unknowns and 5 equations, 3 elements can be chosen at will. The other are consequences.

Let's fix L_1 , L_3 , and C_3 . $L_1 = 859.1 \mu H$ $L_3 = 389 mH$ $C_3 = 34.25 pF$

Using the program Mrn6:

Mode 3:4:5:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} C1{=}17680.000000000 \ nF\\ L1{=}& 0.8590783371 \ \mu H\\ C2{=}& 278.3492063492 \ pF\\ L2{=}& 54.4600000000 \ mH\\ C3{=}& 34.2500000000 \ pF\\ L3{=}& 389.000000000 \ mH\\ k12{=}& 0.3504383220 \end{array}$

A simulation results in:



Maximum output voltage: 718 kV

Maximum input current: 4601 A

Maximum voltage over C₂: 164 kV

The input current is excessive, C_2 is quite large, and the voltage over it is large too.

This will be the reference design.

Trying the next mode, 3:4:7:

 $\begin{array}{rrr} C1{=}19570.000000000 \ nF \\ L1{=} & 0.8591043750 \ \mu H \\ C2{=} & 75.9134199134 \ pF \\ L2{=} & 101.8809523810 \ mH \\ C3{=} & 34.2500000000 \ pF \\ L3{=} & 389.000000000 \ mH \\ k12{=} & 0.4555734516 \end{array}$

A simulation results in:



The voltage over C_2 is even greater, but C_2 is smaller. The input current is the same.

Next mode: 3:4:9:

C1=20340.000000000 nF
L1= 0.8594422004 µH
C2= 38.5406593407 pF
L2= 121.3957475995 mH
C3= 34.250000000 pF
L3= 389.000000000 mH
k12= 0.4876948992



This mode has something very interesting. C_2 is a bit larger than C_3 . For reasons of symmetry, the capacitance "seen" at the bottom of L_3 must be similar to the Medhurst capacitance of L_3 . With this design a distributed capacitor probably can be used for C_2 . Modes with higher "m" will be unrealizable because C_2 will be too small. The input current is also reasonable. But k12 is too high.

Trying then the next series:

Mode 4:5:6:

C1=16840.000000000 nF L1= 0.8591577670 µH C2= 432.4494949495 pF L2= 33.4296875000 mH C3= 34.2500000000 pF L3= 389.000000000 mH k12= 0.2813124431



C₂ is too big. The input current is within the limit. Note that the output is inverted.

Mode 4:5:8:

C1=18160.000000000 nF L1= 0.8593985738 µH C2= 121.9729344729 pF L2= 66.6694335938 mH C3= 34.250000000 pF L3= 389.000000000 mH k12= 0.3825061606



 C_2 is smaller, k_{12} is quite high.

Mode 4:5:10:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} C1{=}18780.000000000 \ nF \\ L1{=}& 0.8590853593 \ \mu H \\ C2{=}& 63.4259259259 \ pF \\ L2{=}& 82.0546875000 \ m H \\ C3{=}& 34.2500000000 \ pF \\ L3{=}& 389.000000000 \ m H \\ k12{=}& 0.4173650062 \end{array}$



Too high k_{12} , too high voltage on C_2 . C_2 may be distributed.

Mode 4:5:12:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} C1 = 19110.000000000 \ nF \\ L1 = & 0.8592289244 \ \mu H \\ C2 = & 39.9743230626 \ pF \\ L2 = & 90.4121093750 \ mH \\ C3 = & 34.2500000000 \ pF \\ L3 = & 389.000000000 \ mH \\ k12 = & 0.4342689724 \end{array}$



 $C_2 \mbox{ is possibly too small. } k_{12} \mbox{ is too high.}$

Trying the next series, that has the output with the original polarity: Mode 5:6:7:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} C1 = 16410.000000000 \ nF \\ L1 = & 0.8592865786 \ \mu H \\ C2 = & 620.8111888112 \ pF \\ L2 = & 22.7048979592 \ mH \\ C3 = & 34.2500000000 \ pF \\ L3 = & 389.000000000 \ mH \\ k12 = & 0.2348371280 \end{array}$



Huge C₂, smaller voltage, good input current, good k₁₂.

Mode 5:6:9:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} C1{=}17400.000000000\, nF\\ L1{=}& 0.8592900702\, \mu H\\ C2{=}& 179.3454545455\, pF\\ L2{=}& 47.544444444\, mH\\ C3{=}& 34.2500000000\, pF\\ L3{=}& 389.000000000\, mH\\ k12{=}& 0.3300165012 \end{array}$



Not too bad values.

Mode 5:6:11:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} C1{=}17900.000000000 \ nF\\ L1{=}& 0.8593462417 \ \mu H\\ C2{=}& 94.9475935829 \ pF\\ L2{=}& 60.1181818182 \ mH\\ C3{=}& 34.2500000000 \ pF\\ L3{=}& 389.000000000 \ mH\\ k12{=}& 0.3658664616 \end{array}$



Smaller C_2 , greater voltage, k_{12} increased a bit.

Jumping to mode 5:6:15, to explore the nice symmetry on the voltage over C₂:

 $\begin{array}{rrrr} C1 = 18370.000000000 \ nF \\ L1 = & 0.8593024823 \ \mu H \\ C2 = & 42.7012987013 \ pF \\ L2 = & 71.8872000000 \ mH \\ C3 = & 34.2500000000 \ pF \\ L3 = & 389.0000000000 \ mH \\ k12 = & 0.3949375800 \end{array}$



 C_2 can be distributed, the voltage over it is quite high, the input current is ok, k_{12} is probably at the limit.

It's clear what will happen in higher-order designs. The next with this regular waveform over C_2 is mode 7:8:21, or 6:7:18 with inversion. These modes are about the last that can be constructed with a positive extra capacitance at the "transmission line" between L_2 and L_3 . These low-order designs exploit better the fast energy transfer possible with a magnifier. Higher modes will be progressively less difficult to build, but in terms of number of cycles required for the energy transfer will not be very different from a Tesla coil. So far, the modes of the type k:k+1:3k look the most interesting.

There are other possibilities, as increasing the difference between "k" and "l" instead of between "l" and "m".

21/2/2010 Antonio Carlos M. de Queiroz